



Right Ventricle in CHD: from High Afterload to High Preload and back



Jan Marek

Great Ormond Street Hospital & Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, University College London

No disclosures

Natural history: Ebstein`s anomaly

Mortality rate 45% in utero

Cardiomegaly TR Fetal hydrops Arrhythmia RV/LV dysfunction Cardiac failure

18+ Years



Celemajer D JACC 1992

Fetus 28.wog



Child 18 yrs







1:34

Adult 32.yrs

Natural history: CcTGA

Child 12.yrs



No response to CRT

(**RV** pacing, **LV** pacing or **BiV** pacing)

Systemic RVPulmonay arterialEisenmenger syhypertension



Same age patients, same PAp/PVR

Eisenmenger syndrome



In patients with Eisenmenger syndrome, regression of right ventricular wall thickness never occurs and contractile function is preserved for life in the majority of patients *Hopkins WE, Coron Art Dis 2005*

Right ventricular function: questions to be answered

- Why fetal RV myocardium exposed to high afterload does not tolerate high afterload postnatally? (**PH**)
- Why conversely RV myocardium tolerates high afterload for decades? (ccTGA) Diagnosis of isolated CcTGA in 92-year old... *Karl T, EJCTS 2016*
- Why RV myocardium tolerates high preload postnatally decades (**PR in TOF, ASD**) but prenatally tends to fail within the days (**SVT, TTTS**)
- Why **Eisenmenger patients** are doing clinically better than **Pulmonary Hypertension patients**?

Postnatal RV adaptation

- **Differences between fetal and postnatal myocardium** in energy metabolism, myosin heavy chain characteristics and intra- extracellular components
- With the fall of PVR postnatally, RV remodels to a low pressure, high compliance chamber and becomes sensitive to pressure load
- Chronic pressure loaded RV (Eisenmenger s.) adapts a transition to a so-called *"fetal gene program"* with a shift from alpha to beta myosin heavy chain expression, an increase in adrenergic receptors, calcineurin activation and increased phosphodiesterase type 5 expression

Right Ventricle: Morphological and Functional Considerations

RV function

Peristaltic wave contraction from the inflow to the outflow regions, propelling the blood in the direction of the outflow tract



Mechanism of RV contraction:

- Inward movement of the free wall (bellows effect)
- Contraction of longitudinal fibers (deep layer)
 Contraction of circumferential fibers (superficial layer)
- Traction on the free wall at points of attachment secondary to LV contraction

Asynchronous (peristaltic) contraction pattern Interdependence with LV – <u>Septal function !</u>



•RV stroke volume same as in LV but RV stroke work less by 25% (less energy cost)
•Trapeziodal shape of RV pressure–volume curve with illdefined isovolumic contraction and particularly isovolumic relaxation
•Since RV systolic pressure exceeds rapidly the low pulmonary artery diastolic pressure, RV isovolumic contraction time is extremely short

Vitarelli A, Heart Fail Rev 2010

Normal RV



"An Incompetent Infundibulum"

Role of the infundibulum in maintaining pulmonary valve competence



Expansion of an "atonic" infundibulum during atrial contraction with increased preload (Ebstein, Uhl)

Charakida M, JACC 2010

Right Ventricle: Functional assessment – any gold standard?

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

- RVOT morphology
- RV function (RVEDV, RVEF)
- RVOT function, % of pulmonary RF
- RV late Gadolinium enhancement





Cardiac MRI: Gold standard???

GOSH (London)



SickKids (Toronto)



RV function: Echocardiographic Challenges

- •Eye balling
- •FAC (%)
- •TAPSE (mm)
- •M-mode (AMM)
- •S/D ratio
- •+dP/dt (systemic RV)
- •Tei index
- •TDI (Strain, -SR)

•RT-3DE



J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2010 EHJ-Cardiovascular Imaging 2015

+dP/dt: role of loading conditions

Fontan pt. on V-A ECMO (bridge to recovery)

Full flow ECMO

30% flow ECMO





1,117 mmHg.s-1

611 mmHg.s-1

1,085 mmHg.s-1

Right Ventricle Exposed to High Preload

RV function in ASD









RV function in ASD (pre- and after trans-catheter closure)



Table 2Echocardiographic diameters and TAPSE (tricuspidannulus plane systolic excursion) in the control group and in atrialseptal defect patients before and 3 months after closure

| | Control group | ASD | | P-value |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|---------------|
| | | Pre | Post | |
| LA (mm) RVEDD (mm) | 38.5 ± 5.0 25.6 ± 3.9 52.6 ± 5.3 | 41.0 ± 6.9 36.4 ± 8.9 45.0 ± 6.6 | 42.1 ± 7.4 29.3 ± 7 49.8 ± 5.5 | n.s. <0.05 |
| TAPSE (mm) | 18.6 ± 6.4 | 21.5 ± 9.0 | 18.7 ± 6.1 | <0.05 |



- •TAPSE and Strain more load dependent
- •Strain rate did not show any difference in contraction

Jategaonkar SR, EHJ 2009

RV function in ASD vs TOF+PR



- Global and regional myocardial RV deformation is differently affected by chronic volume loading in ASD versus TOF patients
- This suggests a different adaptation mechanism in both diseases with mainly **apical segments affected in TOF**

Dragulescu A, Int Am J 2012

Ebstein`s anomaly: Cone operation

•Antero-Superior and Inferior leaflets mobilised and detached from their position in RV and rotated clockwise and sutured to the septal margin of AS leaflet (=cone)

- Septal leaflet (if present) delaminated and incorporated in coneAnnuloplasty
- •Right atrium plicated and ASD closed (if present)



Da Silva JP, Arq Brasil Cardiol 2004, J Thor Cardiovasc Surg 2007

Cone reconstruction for Ebstein's anomaly: Patient outcomes, biventricular function, and cardiopulmonary exercise capacity

Michael Ibrahim, MD, PhD,^{a,b} Victor T. Tsang, MD, FRCS,^{a,b,c} Maryanne Caruana, MD,^d Marina L. Hughes, DPhil, FRACP,^{d,e} Synetta Jenkyns, BD,^e Elodie Perdreau, MD,^e Alessandro Giardini, MD,^{c,e} and Jan Marek, MD, PhD^{c,e}

Pre-Op Number of patients Post-Op 15-**Improves functional status** 10-NYHA 3 NYHA 1 NYHA 2 NYHA 4 TR 30-Pre-Op **Reduces TR** Number of patients Post-Op 20-10-Trivial Mild Moderate Severe Absolute Peak VO2 max % Predicted Peak VO2 max **Improve exercise tolerance** p=0.0210 % Predicted Peak VO2 max p=0.0356 Absolute Peak VO2 max 100-40-50-20 10. Pre.OP JTCS 2015

20-





....but, despite clinical improvement, markedly reduces RV function ...!?

ECHO: eyeballing

ECHO: TAPSE



Ebstein`s anomaly: Cone operation

Day 1Day 10Day 14Post coneDischargedPost cone





Parental consent

Reduced EF but increased Forward Flow



Lange R, Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2015

Ebstein's: Myocardial function

Recent GOSH data: Mean FU is 26.9 months (range 1-77 months)



Tricuspid annulus (Z-score)





Perdreau E, manuscript

Why does RVEF decrease after surgery?



• Competent valve

Decreased stroke volume & ejection fraction Increased afterload

- "Re-ventricularised" myocardial wall
- **Remodelation** or **Intrinsic Cardiomyopathy**?

Ebstein's: LV function



LV longitudinal Strain



LV Synchrony (corrected global TTP)



Perdreau E, manuscript

Right Ventricle Exposed to High Atferload: Ventricular Interdependence & Role of Septum

Ventricular Interdependence & Role of Septum

Pulmonary arterial hypertension









RV dyssynchrony & RV-LV interaction in PAH S:D Ratio



Friedberg M, JASE 2007

- Correlates with worse outcome, exercise tolerance, haemodynamics and pulmonary resistance
- Associated with risk for lung transplantation or death (hazard ratio 1.13, p <0.001).

Alkon J, Am J Cardiol 2010

PH-related ventricular dyssynchrony in Experimental model (monocrotaline-treated rats)

CMR (in vivo)



Langendorff (isolated heart)



Handoko M L et al. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2009

RV dyssynchrony & RV-LV interaction in PAH



Important intra- (RVFW and IVS) & inter-ventricular (RVFW and LVFW) mechanical delay

RV dyssynchrony & RV-LV interaction in post repaired TOF with # **^**RVOTO



Increase in early LV diastolic filling correlated with the reduction in RV to LV mechanical delay (r ¹/₄ 20.68; P ¹/₄ 0.001) and change in septal curvature (r ¹/₄ 0.71; P, 0.001) *Lurz P. Eu Heart J* 2009

CcTGA: ventricular interdependence



30-y old, CcTGA/VSD/AVBIII VSD closure S/P VVI pacing (LV) Systemic RV, TR S/P PA Banding





PA Band ON

PA Band OFF

CcTGA: ventricular interdependence Effect of **native or surgically induced LVOTO** on event-free survival



Patients with LVOTO had:

- Lower risk for developing systemic $TR \ge 3/4$ (P = 0.004)
- Moderate systemic RV dysfunction (P = 0.011)
- Longer progression-free interval for the composite endpoint (from 11.2 to 18.1yrs; P=0.035) *Helsen F, Int J Cardiol. 2015*

Summary I.

- Different RV response to loading conditions among different acquired and different congenital heart lesions
- Weak understanding of differences among patients with same substrate and same starting point of disease
- Likely different genetic substrate driving upregulation of myocardial response in CHD *"Fetal gene program"*

Summary II.

- Majority of parameters/indices sensitive to loading conditions
 - No golden standard

- Some indices (TAPSE, MPI, S:D) applicable with high predicting value where RV exposed to high afterload

- Ventricular interdependence has major impact on pump efficiency
 - "Optimising" loading conditions to achieve mechanical synchrony

Echocardiography & Prenatal Cardiology Director Jan Marek



Clinical ECHO Consultants Michelle Carr Ram Awat Georgi Christov

Clinical Prenatal Cardiology Consultants Ian Sullivan Robert Yates Shankar Sridharan Rachel Andrews

Fetal Sonographers Jo Wolfenden Nicola Callaghan

Cardiac Sonographers Gill Riley Rebecca Banks Sarla Kataria Kalaiiarasi Janagarajan Jabeen Bibi Sally Punzal Sharmila Govinde Emma Chokdar Victoria Cable

ECHO Research Fellows

Beatrice Bonnello Elodie Perdreau

Ilaria Bo

Anna Argiolas

Specialists Registrars

William Regan Filip Kucera Sadia Qyam Salim Jivanji Corina Pauls William Regan Gabrielle Norish



